HISTORIC PRESERVATION REVIEW BOARD STAFF REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Landmark/District: **Dr. Ernest Hadley** (nomination pending)

Address: 4304 Forest Lane NW (x) Agenda

Meeting Date: September 24, 2015 (x) Raze

Case Number: **15-530**

Staff Reviewer: **Tim Dennée** (x) Permit

The applicant, property owners Michael T. Sicoli and Kirsten Albers, request the Board's review of a permit application to raze the house, which is the subject of a pending landmark nomination.

The applicant has circulated raze clearance letters to the necessary review agencies and disciplines. A landmark nomination renders a property historic for the purposes of the law until a decision on designation settles the matter of jurisdiction. Historic Preservation Office clearance and the issuance of a raze permit may depend on the decision regarding the nomination. The preservation law requires that, prior to the issuance of a permit to raze a historic property or demolish it in significant part, the application must first be referred to the Board.

If the Board designates the property as being of sufficient importance and integrity to merit landmark designation, then it must also find that its demolition in significant part would fail to retain and enhance the landmark, which would be contrary to the purposes of the preservation law.

If the Board does not designate the property, it is no longer subject to the jurisdiction of the preservation law, and the city's issuance of a raze permit may proceed without further preservation review.

Recommendation

If the subject property is designated a historic landmark, the HPO recommends that the Board advise the Mayor's Agent that the proposed demolition is inconsistent with the purposes of the preservation law, because it does not retain, enhance or restore a historic landmark property.

If the Board does not designate the property, no further action will be taken on this case by the HPO or Board, except for administrative clearance of the raze letter, for lack of jurisdiction.