
**HISTORIC PRESERVATION REVIEW BOARD
STAFF REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**

Landmark/District:	Cleveland Park Historic District	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Agenda
Address:	3008 Ordway Street NW	<input type="checkbox"/> Consent
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Concept
Meeting Date:	December 17, 2015	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Alteration
Case Number:	16-041	<input type="checkbox"/> New Construction
Staff Reviewer:	Frances McMillen	<input type="checkbox"/> Demolition
		<input type="checkbox"/> Subdivision

Applicants Sanford and Bonnie Roskes, with drawings prepared by Joel Heisey, requests concept review for a rear one-story addition at 3008 Ordway Street NW in the Cleveland Park Historic District.

Property Description

Designed by James E. Cooper for B.L. Jackson and J.B. Boone, 3008 Ordway Street was built in 1925. The subject property is a brick two-story semi-detached house with a two-story enclosed porch is located on the rear elevation.

Proposal

The proposal calls for a rear one-story addition that would project 15' off the rear porch and be approximately 15' in width. It would be clad in stucco and have a standing seam metal shed roof. Fenestration would consist of one-over-one double-hung windows on the west elevation. Full light doors are proposed for the rear elevation.

Evaluation

The size, height, and fenestration of the addition are limited by a covenant agreed to by the applicants and the owners of the adjoining house at 3006 Ordway. The agreement prohibits windows on the east elevation and limits the addition to one story that will extend no further than 15' off the rear of the house. A site plan included in the proposal illustrates the addition does not project significantly beyond the rear walls of neighboring houses to the east on Ordway Street, with the exception of 3006. Because the addition does not incorporate the rear porch's west wall and wrap the corner of the house, the porch becomes somewhat of a hyphen between the main block of the house and the new construction. This condition, and the lack of fenestration on the east wall, is not ideal, but the addition will not be visible so the impact on the house and the historic district is minimal.

Recommendation

The HPO recommends that the Board find the proposal generally compatible with the subject property and with the historic district and delegate final approval to staff.