HISTORIC PRESERVATION REVIEW BOARD STAFF REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Landmark/District: Address:	Capitol Hill Historic District 518 6th Street NE	(X) Agenda() Consent
Meeting Date: Case Number: Staff Reviewer:	December 15, 2016 16-199 Gabriela Gutowski	 (X) Concept (X) Alteration () New Construction () Demolition () Subdivision

Applicant Feroz Ashraf, with plans prepared by architect Carter Jones, requests concept review for a three-story rear addition and interior alterations at 518 Sixth Street, NE, a contributing building in the Capitol Hill Historic District.

The proposal was initially presented to the HPRB on May 5, 2016. At that time, the board requested that the applicant submit and present additional plans accurately documenting the existing conditions at the property prior the commencement of the demolition and showing the full extent of the proposed demolition. The Board also asked that the applicant prepare and present detailed excavation and construction drawings.

Property Description

This three-story rowhouse was constructed in 1889 as one of a set of five identical buildings. Initially owned by architect Edward Woltz, these brick structures feature full-height projecting bays, window and door openings surmounted by soldier-brick segmental arches, and heavily corbeled brick cornices leading up to flat roofs. Concrete sills in conjunction with brick sill courses lend the vertical buildings horizontality. Set slightly above grade, none of the rowhouses has lower-level access along the façades (east elevation).

This property has been the subject of ongoing enforcement action due to incorrect and incomplete plans. A version of this project was originally reviewed at the staff level but those permits have since been surrendered to DCRA. Currently, the building is a shell, with only the façade, party walls, limited framing, and roof extant. The west (rear) exterior wall has been partially removed. This condition was observed by staff on April 21, 2016 and December 2, 2016.

Proposal

The plans call for the removal of most of the interior framing, including floor joists, and the replacement of all windows and doors. All window openings, both existing and proposed, would hold one-over-one, double-hung wood sash. The second and third story exterior brick walls of the west (rear) elevation would also be removed. Furthermore, an existing (non-historic) one-story rear addition would be demolished and replaced with a three-story, full-width addition with

a third-story deck. The new addition would extend west approximately 15'-3'' from the rear wall of the main block to a point equal with the one-story addition of the adjacent property to the south (516 6th Street NE).

Clad in horizontal Hardieplank siding, the proposed addition would be capped by a flat roof extending off the plane of the main block. Skylights are proposed for both the addition and the main block. The basement grade of the original block would be lowered to a height of approximately 7', and extended west as part of the proposed rear addition. Concrete stairs would lead from the backyard down to a basement door opening while new wood stairs will provide access up to a first-story rear stoop.

The first story of the rear facade of the addition will be fenestrated with a double-leaf, woodframe, six-light glass door with sidelights. The second and third floors would feature one-overone double-hung windows and a single leaf door at the third floor leading to a roof deck with an open wood picket railing 3' 6" tall.

The basement will have a single-leaf, paneled wood door with half-light. There would be no openings on the north and south (side) elevations and they would be clad in horizontal Hardiplank siding to match the rear façade.

The plans as presented at the May 2016 HPRB meeting showed two-over-two double-hung windows at the primary façade and a solid enclosed balustrade clad in Hardieplank at the rear second floor roof deck.

Evaluation

The proposed three-story rear addition is compatible with the historic house and with the Capitol Hill Historic District in overall massing, height, fenestration, and materials. The Board has generally cited the principle that additions to historic buildings should not exceed the size of the original structure, establishing a deferential or subordinate relationship between new and old which this proposed addition does. In the context of the subject property, a three-story rear addition would not be out of context or incompatible with its surroundings. Furthermore, the addition would not be visible from the street.

Much of the structural demolition identified in the plans has already occurred. Unfortunately, there is no way to know for sure whether that framing was original or part of a later renovation. Based on the unusual floorplan which included a spiral staircase, the removed framing may not have been original to the house. The interior had compromised integrity of design, materials, and workmanship. Furthermore, the interior of the building was unlikely to have the potential to yield additional information (Criterion D). As the project progresses, the applicants should work with staff to ensure that the remaining original framing is retained.

Recommendation

The HPO recommends that the Board approve the concept as consistent with the purposes of the preservation act and delegate final approval to staff.