D.C.-44 APRIL 1980

TO:

FROM:

Memorandum

Government of the District of Columbia

SEE DISTRIBUTION

Fred Greene Director Department, Agency, Office: Planning

Date:

MAY 8 1987

SUBJECT: Large Tract Review Application No. LTR-004 1625 Eckington Place, N.E.

.

This is to inform you that the Office of Planning (OP) has completed its processing of Large Tract Review Case No. LTR-004, 1625 Eckington Place, N.E.

OP is recommending approval of 1625 Eckington Place, N.E. on the condition that the proposed building is fully protected by an automatic sprinkler system designed and installed as per applicable building codes.

This conditional recommendation for approval of 1625 Eckington Place, N.E. is being made without regard to (1) legal issues not already identified or anticipated in the course of this Large Tract Review; or (2) other applicable codes and regulations. Such issues, should they arise, must be handled through the Office of the Corporation Counsel (OCC) and the Department of Consumer and Regulatory Affairs (DCRA).

A copy of the final Large Tract Review report for 1625 Eckington Place, N.E. is on file with the Office of Planning. Should you wish to review this document, please contact me at 727-6492 or William Washburn III, Chief, Special Projects Unit at 727-9616.

PHOUS-#12

D.C. OFFICE OF PLANNING LARGE TRACT REVIEW FORM Part B. OP Review Form

PROJECT NO. LTR-004

2.

IS

DATE RECEIVED BY OP 3/23/87

1. APPLICATION PACKAGE CHECKLIST:

Other District Policies?

	Included	Not	Include	đ
Site Analysis Report	V			•
Transportation Analysis Report	V			
Site & Building Plan Documents	V			
Statement on Relationship of Project to Comprehensive Plan	V			
Statement on Contribution of Project to Implementation of City/Community Goals and Policies	V			
IS PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT CONSISTENT WI (Check appropriate column)	TH:			
	Yes	1	NO N/A	
Comprehensive Plan?	V			_
Pertinent Ward Plan?	V			
Small Area Plan?			V	
Urban Renewal Plan?			V	
Current CD Program?	V	_		_
Other District-Sponsored Community/Economic Development Strategies?	V			

V

3. REQUIRED REVIEWS:

	Case No.	Date Begun	Date Completed
DCRA		3 / 25 / 87	
DPW		3 / 25 / 87	
DCFD		3 / 25 / 87	4/6/87
MPD		3 / 25 / 87	4/7/87
occ		3 / 25 / 87	

IMPORTANT NOTICE: THE REMAINDER OF THIS QUESTIONNAIRE IS TO BE FILLED OUT ONLY AFTER INTERAGENCY REVIEWS HAVE BEEN COMPLETED AND REVIEW COMMENTS RECEIVED IN WRITING FROM THE AGENCIES LISTED ABOVE.

4. PROJECTED ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:

Number of On-Site Parking Spaces	59
Estimated Daily Trips Generated:	
Peak A.M./P.M. Rush Hours	32 / 19
Daily Total	
Expected Water Use (GPD)	
Expected Sewage Generated (GPD)	

5. DOES THE PROPOSED PROJECT FACE CONSTRAINTS WITH RESPECT TO: (Check appropriate column)

	Yes	NO	N/A
Site Conditions?		V	
Physical Design?			
Public Services?			
Potential Environmental Impacts?	·	V	
Other?		V	

6. DOES THE PROPOSED PROJECT HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO CAUSE: (Check appropriate column)

	Yes	No	N/A
Conflicts Due to Incompatible Use(s)?			
Conflict with Comprehensive Plan or Ward Plan?		V	
Overloading of Available Sewage Treatment Capacity?		V	<u> </u>
Storm Runoff Drainage Problems?		<u>V</u>	
Erosion Due to Excessive Removal of Natural Vegetation or Ground Cover?			V
(Increased) Traffic Congestion?	<u> </u>	V	
Increased Air Pollution?	_	V	
Increased Noise?	1000		
Displacement of Resident Households and/or Businesses?			V

For each "Yes" answer above, briefly indicate in the following space a recommended action or course of action that might ameliorate the indicated problem. Attach a separate sheet after this page if additional space is required (NOTE: Incompatible uses or substantial conflict with the adopted Comprehensive Plan may constitute sufficient grounds for a recommendation of disapproval of the proposed development plan).

7. FINAL OP RECOMMENDATION FOR ACTION (Check One):

Approval of Project Recommended

Approval of Project Recommended on the Condition That the Development Plan is Modified to Address Identified Problems Within Thirty (30) Days of the Date of This Conditional Recommendation for Approval

Recommendation for Denial of Proposed Project (Explain Below)

DATE OF FINAL OP DETERMINATION 5 / 8 / 87

SIGNATURE OF REVIEWER

V

SIGNATURE OF APPROVING OFFICIAL

INSTRUCTIONS FOR FILLING OUT PART B

Upon receipt of a <u>completed</u> LTR Application Package including the completed <u>LTR CERTIFICATION FORM</u>: <u>PART A</u>, enter the assigned OP Project Number and date of receipt by OP in the appropriate spaces on page 4 of the application form as well as at the top of page 1 of this LTR CERTIFICATION FORM: PART B.

- After reviewing the submitted application package for completeness, enter check marks in the "Included" or "Not Included" columns to indicate which required items are present or missing.
- IMPORTANT NOTE: AN APPLICATION PACKAGE SHALL BE CONSIDERED INCOMPLETE UNLESS ALL REQUIRED DOCUMENTS ARE INCLUDED. IN THE CASE OF MISSING ITEMS, THE APPLICANT SHALL BE NOTIFIED IMMEDIATELY IN WRITING REGARDING THE MISSING ITEMS AND THE NEED TO PROVIDE OP WITH THESE ITEMS SO THAT PROCESSING OF THE APPLICATION CAN BEGIN.
- 2. Check the appropriate column for each listed item, using as reference the appropriate documentation. If the proposed project is not affected by a particular plan or policy document, enter a check mark in the "N/A" column.
- 3. A completed copy of the submitted LTR Application Package is to be sent to each of the following District agencies: Department of Consumer & Regulatory Affairs (DCRA), Department of Public Works (DPW), D.C. Fire Department (DCFD), Metropolitan Police Department (MPD), and Office of the Corporation Counsel (OCC). Each reviewing agency will assign an internal case number to its package and provide its own review documentation. Each agency's written comments shall refer to the LTR Application Package by its internally-assigned case number and include the dates that the agency began and ended its own review of the package. Using this information, enter the case number and the review starting and ending dates for each reviewing agency in the appropriate spaces.
- IMPORTANT NOTE: THE REMAINDER OF THIS QUESTIONNAIRE IS TO BE FILLED OUT ONLY AFTER INTERAGENCY REVIEWS HAVE BEEN COM-PLETED AND REVIEW COMMENTS RECEIVED IN WRITING FROM ALL OF THE AGENCIES INVOLVED IN THE LARGE TRACT REVIEW PROCESS. THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS SHALL BE FILLED OUT USING AS REFERENCE THE WRITTEN COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM THE OTHER REVIEWING AGENCIES.
- 4. Enter the number of trips projected for the peak A.M. and peak P.M. rush hours in the appropriate space; likewise, record the projected daily total of trips generated by the project. "GPD" refers to gallons per day for both water and sewage flow (all necessary information shall be provided by DPW). The term

INSTRUCTIONS FOR PART B (Cont'd.)

"sewage" refers to liquid waste generated by residential and non-residential uses that are treated at a sewage treatment plant (e.g., Blue Plains) prior to being released into a nearby body of water for dispersion (usually a river).

- 5. Enter check marks in the appropriate column for each listed item, using as primary references the written comments received from DCRA and DPW. Consultation with OP's Zoning Services and Urban Design Units is recommended with regard to the question dealing with physical design constraints. Comments from DPW, DCFD, and MPD should be referred to in answering the question on public services, and the comments from OCC should be referenced with regard to "other" constraints.
- Enter check marks in the appropriate column for each listed 6. item, using as primary references the Comprehensive Plan, the pertinent Ward Plan, and the written comments from DPW and DCRA. "Available Sewage Treatment Capacity" refers to the available carrying capacity of sanitary or combined (sewage and storm runoff) sewers serving the project site as well as the known available treatment capacity of the Blue Plains Sewage Treatment Plant. "Excessive Removal of Natural Vegetation or Ground Cover" refers to proposed site and building plans showing removal of natural cover from areas not covered by pavement or building structures in those plans. These areas may be land-scaped in a manner judged (by DCRA) ineffective in minimizing or preventing soil erosion. In elaborating on "Yes" responses entered under Question #6, keep comments as brief as possible. Outlining or listing of points is preferred to overly-verbose ----narratives, and the use of "bullets" is encouraged for the sake of clarity.
- 7. Enter a check mark by the selected OP response. If additional space is required in explaining a recommendation for denial, attach a separate sheet to page 4 as a continuation.

At this point, you should complete the section of page 4 marked "FOR OP USE ONLY" in the Developer's Application (PART A). Enter a check mark in the appropriate space under "OP RECOMMENDATION FOR" and record the date that final OP action was taken. These final 2 items should match the information on page 4 of the OP Review Form (PART B). This form should also be signed by the reviewer and the approving OP official.

a a second a

D.C. OFFICE OF PLANNING

.

LARGE TRACT REVIEW CERTIFICATION FORM

Part A: Developer's Application

	LARGE TRACT REVIEW CERTIFICATION FORM
	Part A: Developer's Application
	23
1.	PROJECT NAME Capital City Flower Market
	NAME OF DEVELOPER Eckington Place Limited Partnership
	ADDRESS C/O Paul's wholesalers (DUNNIS PAUL)
	1312 I Street N. W Washington, D.C. 20005
	PHONE(S) (202) 628-2682 (202) 596-1199
	If Developer does not own subject property, please provide the following information regarding the property owner:
	NAME OF OWNER SAME AS developer
	ADDRESS
	PHONE (S) () ()
2.	PROPERTY ADDRESS 1625 ECKINGTON PLACE, N.E.
	Washington, D.C.
	WARD 5 ANC(S) $5C$ SQUARE(S) 3576
	PARCEL/LOT NO(S). 805
2	CURRENT USE(S) (Check where applicable):
5.	Residential Retail/Office *Industrial X
	Public/Institutional Open Space/Undeveloped
	Other Two, small, vacant buildings
	*MAXIMUM HEIGHT OF EXISTING IMPROVEMENTS (ft.)
	*TOTAL GROSS FLOOR AREA (GFA) OF EXISTING IMPROVEMENTS (sq.ft.):
	(NOTE: Refer to definition of GFA in Section 199 of the D.C. Zoning Regulations)
	*If property is undeveloped or cleared, enter "N/A".

4. CURRENT ZONING:

	ZONING	LAND AREA (sq.ft.)	M.O.R. FAR*	PERMITTED HEIGHT (ft.)
1.	M	82,000+	_6_	
2.				<u> </u>
3.				
4.				
5.				

*Matter-Of-Right Floor Area Ratio (FAR)

5. PROPOSED ZONING:

	ZONING	LAND AREA (sq.ft.)	PROPOSED M.O.R. FAR	PERMITTED HEIGHT (ft.)
1.	M	82,000	1	30'
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				

6. ESTIMATED PROJECT COMPLETION DATE (mo./yr.) <u>9187</u> TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT (Check where applicable):

New <u>X</u> Rehab/Historic Preservation <u>Addition</u> EXISTING JOBS RETAINED AND/OR <u>NEW JOBS</u> CREATED BY PROJECT:

Temporary/Construction

Permanent

150+

-2-

7. GROSS FLOOR AREA (GFA) BY USE:

5. *

		GFA (sq.ft.)
	*Residential _	
	Retail _	
	Office	
	Hotel	
	Industrial _	57,205 (6,039 CONOPY)
	Other _	
	Total GFA	57,205
	*For residential space of	only:
	No. of Single-Famil	y Units
	, No. of Multi-Family	Units
	ESTIMATED DEVELOPMENT COST:	
	Land Cost	<u>\$ 2 m.</u>
	Construction Cost	\$ 4.5 M
	Other Costs	\$
	Total Project Cost	\$ 6.5 M
8.	PUBLIC CONTRIBUTION:	None
	UDAG	\$

EDA\$D.C. Revenue Bonds\$CDBG\$Urban Renewal\$HODAG\$Other\$

9. RESIDENTIAL/BUSINESS DISPLACEMENT DUE TO PROJECT: (If not applicable or no displacement expected, enter "0")

Number of Households Displaced

Number of Businesses Displaced NoNe

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE BY Wein Dlane General PARTNERShip (DENNISI Phul) DATE 3/20187

FOR OP USE ONLY - DO NOT WRITE BELOW LINE

PROJECT NO.

DATE RECEIVED BY OP / /

OP RECOMMENDATION FOR (Check One):

Approval ____ Disapproval ____

DATE OF FINAL ACTION / /

gpi

LARGE TRACT REVIEW DOCUMENTS for CAPITAL CITY FLOWER MARKET 1625 Eckington Place, NW Washington, DC

April 13, 1987

Prepared by:

Greenman-Pedersen, Inc. 1046 West Street Laurel, Maryland 20707 (301) 470-2772

and

The Lee Organization One Center Park Suite 300 Columbia, MD 21045 (301) 995-0878

gpi

CAPITAL CITY FLOWER MARKET

Introduction

The proposed Capital City Flower Market will be located at 1625 Eckington Place, NE. The proposed usage will be primarily as a wholesale flower market with some general office usage. The proposed building is 242' wide and 175' deep including a 25' deep canopy over the covered loading/unloading areas. The building height is 31' 4" at the front (west face) and 18' 8" at the rear (east face). The building is located at the rear of Lot 805 in Square 3576. The building is 2 stories high.

Large Tract Review for this site is necessary under Section 1501.3 due to the total gross floor area of 57,205 square feet (including 6,039 sf of canopy). Eight copies of the following documentation is included:

- a) Certification Form
- Name, Address & Signature of Authorized Agent (on certification form)
- c) Site and Vicinity Maps
- d) Statement re: City and Community Goals
- e) Statement re: Objectives of the Comprehensive Plan
- f) Reduction of General Site and Development Plan
- g) General Circulation Plan (included on the Site and Development Plan)
- h) Typical Floor Plan
- i) Projected Construction Schedule
- j) Traffic Study

D.C. OFFICE OF PLANNING

: . .

LARGE TRACT REVIEW CERTIFICATION FORM

Part A: Developer's Application

÷

internet of an electron state of the	Eckington Place Limited Deutsenship		
NAME OF DEVELOPER Eckington Place Limited Partnership			
ADDRESS	c/o Paul's Wholesalers (Dennis Paul)		
	1312 I Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20005		
PHONE (S) (202) 628	3-2682 (202) 596-1199		
If Developer does following information	not own subject property, please provide the tion regarding the property owner:		
NAME OF OWNER Sa	me as developer		
ADDRESS			
PHONE (S) ()	()		
PROPERTY ADDRESS	1625 Eckington Place, NE		
-	Washington, D.C.		
WARD 5 ANC(S)	5C SQUARE (S) 3576		
PARCEL/LOT NO(S).	805		
CURRENT USE(S) (Che	ck where applicable):		
	Retail/Office Industrial * X		
and the second second	utional Open Space/Undeveloped		
Other	*Two small, vacant buildings		
*MAXIMUM HEIGHT OF	EXISTING IMPROVEMENTS (ft.) NA		
*TOTAL GROSS FLOOR	AREA (GFA) OF EXISTING IMPROVEMENTS (sq.ft.):		
NA	(NOTE: Refer to definition of GFA in Section 199 of the D.C. Zoning Regulations)		
	ADDRESS PHONE(S) (202) 628 If Developer does following information NAME OF OWNER Same ADDRESS PHONE(S) (PROPERTY ADDRESS WARD 5_ ANC(S) PARCEL/LOT NO(S). CURRENT USE(S) (Cheen Residential Public/Instite Other *MAXIMUM HEIGHT OF *TOTAL GROSS FLOOR		

4. CURRENT ZONING:

.

	ZONING	LAND AREA (sq.ft.)	M.O.R. FAR*	PERMITTED HEIGHT (ft.)
1.		82.000 +	6	
2.				
3.		•		
4.				
5.				

*Matter-Of-Right Floor Area Ratio (FAR)

5. PROPOSED ZONING:

	ZONING	LAND AREA (sq.ft.)	PROPOSED M.O.R. FAR	PERMITTED HEIGHT (ft.)
1.	M	82,000	_1	30'
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				

6. ESTIMATED PROJECT COMPLETION DATE (mo./yr.) 9 / 87

TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT (Check where applicable):

New X Rehab/Historic Preservation Addition _____ Addition _____ EXISTING JOBS RETAINED AND/OR NEW JOBS CREATED BY PROJECT:

Temporary/Construction

Permanent

150+

7. GROSS FLOOR AREA (GFA) BY USE:

GFA (sq.ft.)

	*Residential	
	Retail	
	Office	
	Hotel	
	Industrial	<u>57,205 (6,039 c</u> anopy)
	Other	
	Total GFA	57,205
	*For residential space (only:
	No. of Single-Fami	ly Units
	. No. of Multi-Family	y Units
	ESTIMATED DEVELOPMENT COST:	
	Land Cost	\$ 2 M
	Construction Cost	\$ 4.5 M
	Other Costs	<u>ş</u>
	Total Project Cost	\$ 6.5 M
8.	PUBLIC CONTRIBUTION:	÷
	UDAG	\$NONE
	EDA	\$
	D.C. Revenue Bonds	\$
	CDBG	\$
	Urban Renewal	\$
	HODAG	\$
	Other	\$

-3-