

(AB0) COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

MISSION

As the central and chief policy-making body for the District of Columbia, the Council's mission is to provide strong, innovative and effective leadership for the benefit of residents across the city. The Council's central role as a legislative body is to make laws. However, its responsibilities also include oversight of multiple agencies, commissions, boards and other instruments of the District of Columbia government.

BACKGROUND

The Council of the District of Columbia is the legislative branch of local government established by the "District of Columbia Home Rule Act of 1973", enacted by Congress and ratified by District voters. The Council is composed of a Chairman elected at large and twelve Members--four of whom are elected at large, and one from each of the District's eight wards. A Member is elected to serve a four-year term.

RECENT ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- The DC Council has instituted several measures to ensure that the city government works for you. Council committees review the performance of government programs and agencies to ensure they are serving their established purposes and operating under the pertinent regulations and budget targets. The Council also holds annual budget oversight hearings in preparation for approving a city budget recommended by the Mayor. The law requires that the District operate with a balanced budget so expenditures do not exceed income.
- Led by Council Chairman Kwame Brown, the 13 members of the Council are working to improve the quality of life in District neighborhoods by ensuring safer streets, developing a vibrant economy and implementing groundbreaking programs.
- Working with the Mayor and the executive branch, the Council also plays a critical role in maintaining a balanced budget and the fiscal health of the District of Columbia government.

Elements on this page of the Agency Summary include:

- **Funding Tables:** Past budget allotments show the allotment balance, calculated as allotments received to date less all obligations (the sum of expenditures, encumbrances, intra-District advances and pre-encumbrances). Agencies are allowed to encumber and pre-encumber funds up to the limit of a capital project's budget authority, which might be higher than allotments received to date. For this reason, a negative balance on a projectsheet does not necessarily indicate overspending or an anti-deficiency violation. A negative balance is permitted in this calculation of remaining allotment authority.
- **Additional Appropriations Data (\$000):** Provides a summary of the budget authority over the life of the project. The table can be read as follows:
 - **Original 6-Year Budget Authority:** Represents the authority from the fiscal year in which budget was first appropriated through the next 5 years.
 - **Budget Authority Thru FY 2016 :** Represents the lifetime budget authority, including the 6 year budget authority for FY 2011 through 2016
 - **FY 2011 Budget Authority Revisions:** Represents the changes to the budget authority as a result of reprogramming, redirections and rescissions (also reflected in Appendix F) for the current fiscal year.
 - **6-Year Budget Authority Thru 2016 :** This is the total 6-year authority for FY 2011 through FY 2016 including changes from the current fiscal year.
 - **Budget Authority Request for 2012 through 2017 :** Represents the 6 year budget authority for 2012 through 2017
 - **Increase (Decrease) :** This is the change in 6 year budget requested for FY 2012 - FY 2017 (change in budget authority is shown in Appendix A).
- **Estimated Operating Impact:** If a project has operating impacts that the agency has quantified, the effects are summarized in the respective year of impact
- **FTE Data (Total budget in FTE Table might differ from actual budget due to rounding):** Provides the number for Full Time Equivalent (FTE) employees approved as eligible to be charged to capital projects by, or on behalf of, the agency. Additionally it provides the total budget for these employees (Personal Services), the non personnel portion of the budget in the agency's capital plan and, the percentage of the agency CIP budget from either expense category.
- **Facility Location Map:** For those agencies with facilities projects, a map reflecting projects and their geographic location within the District of Columbia.

(Dollars in Thousands)

| Phase | Funding By Phase - Prior Funding | | | | | Proposed Funding | | | | | | |
|-------------------|----------------------------------|------------|------------|----------|------------|------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| | Allotments | Spent | Enc/ID-Adv | Pre-Enc | Balance | FY 2012 | FY 2013 | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | FY 2016 | FY 2017 | 6 Yr Total |
| (01) Design | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 500 |
| (04) Construction | 1,193 | 445 | 0 | 0 | 748 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTALS | 1,193 | 445 | 0 | 0 | 748 | 500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 500 |

| Source | Funding By Source - Prior Funding | | | | | Proposed Funding | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|------------|----------|------------|------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| | Allotments | Spent | Enc/ID-Adv | Pre-Enc | Balance | FY 2012 | FY 2013 | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | FY 2016 | FY 2017 | 6 Yr Total |
| GO Bonds - New (0300) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 500 |
| Pay Go (0301) | 1,193 | 445 | 0 | 0 | 748 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTALS | 1,193 | 445 | 0 | 0 | 748 | 500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 500 |

| Additional Appropriation Data | | | Estimated Operating Impact Summary | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|-------|---------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------------|
| First Appropriation FY | | 2008 | Expenditure (+) or Cost Reduction (-) | | | | | | |
| Original 6-Year Budget Authority | | 2,055 | FY 2012 | FY 2013 | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | FY 2016 | FY 2017 | 6 Yr Total |
| Budget Authority Thru FY 2011 | | 1,193 | No estimated operating impact | | | | | | |
| FY 2011 Budget Authority Changes | | 0 | | | | | | | |
| Current FY 2011 Budget Authority | | 1,193 | | | | | | | |
| Budget Authority Request for FY 2012 | | 1,693 | | | | | | | |
| Increase (Decrease) | | 500 | | | | | | | |

| Full Time Equivalent Data | | | |
|---------------------------|-----|----------------|--------------|
| Object | FTE | FY 2012 Budget | % of Project |
| Personal Services | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Non Personal Services | 0.0 | 500 | 100.0 |

AB0-WIL04-JOHN A. WISLON BUILDING FUND

Agency: COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA (AB0)
Implementing Agency: COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA (AB0)
Project No: WIL04
Ward: 2
Location: 1350 PENNSYLVANIA AVE NW
Facility Name or Identifier: WILSON BUILDING
Status: New
Useful Life of the Project: 25
Estimated Full Funding Cost: \$500,000

Description:

In late 1996 the Council of the District of Columbia transferred, pursuant to a ground lease, a leasehold estate in the Wilson Building (the "Building") to 1350 Pennsylvania Avenue Limited Partnership (the "Partnership"). The Partnership undertook the renovation of the Building and entered into two office leases (technically, subleases). The \$71,000,00 in project funds available (\$52,000,000 in the form of debt from John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Company and \$19,000,000 in the form of equity from Fannie Mae) were used to finance all of the hard and soft costs of the renovation of the Building as well as certain expenses related to the Council's move to and occupancy at One Judiciary Square. The District is working with the Wilson Building transaction participants to evaluate debt financings that would either lower the costs of capital on the existing deal or structure the new financing to permit the District Executive to reoccupy the building. Specifically, the District may seek to issue bonds to (1) replace the taxable debt with tax exempt debt keeping the existing occupancy and legal structure in place, (2) refinance the taxable debt with tax-exempt debt, occupying the entire building and paying GSA the lease rental cost, and (3) refinance the taxable debt, repaying the building partners their project costs with tax-exempt debt and paying the debt service on the new debt. Lease payment and/or debt service on this financing, if completed, has been allocated in the Repayment of Loans and Interest agency. The District has included \$75 million of capital budget authority in this CIP in order to refinance the John Hancock debt if the District can derive a sufficient financial benefit from the transaction.

Justification:

-

Progress Assessment:

-

Related Projects:

-

(Dollars in Thousands)

| Phase | Funding By Phase - Prior Funding | | | | | | Proposed Funding | | | | | | |
|-------------------|----------------------------------|------------|------------|----------|------------|--|------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| | Allotments | Spent | Enc/ID-Adv | Pre-Enc | Balance | | FY 2012 | FY 2013 | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | FY 2016 | FY 2017 | 6 Yr Total |
| (01) Design | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 500 |
| (04) Construction | 1,193 | 445 | 0 | 0 | 748 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTALS | 1,193 | 445 | 0 | 0 | 748 | | 500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 500 |

| Source | Funding By Source - Prior Funding | | | | | | Proposed Funding | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|------------|----------|------------|--|------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| | Allotments | Spent | Enc/ID-Adv | Pre-Enc | Balance | | FY 2012 | FY 2013 | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | FY 2016 | FY 2017 | 6 Yr Total |
| GO Bonds - New (0300) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 500 |
| Pay Go (0301) | 1,193 | 445 | 0 | 0 | 748 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTALS | 1,193 | 445 | 0 | 0 | 748 | | 500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 500 |

Additional Appropriation Data

| | |
|--------------------------------------|-------|
| First Appropriation FY | 2008 |
| Original 6-Year Budget Authority | 2,055 |
| Budget Authority Thru FY 2011 | 1,193 |
| FY 2011 Budget Authority Changes | 0 |
| Current FY 2011 Budget Authority | 1,193 |
| Budget Authority Request for FY 2012 | 1,693 |
| Increase (Decrease) | 500 |

Estimated Operating Impact Summary

| Expenditure (+) or Cost Reduction (-) | FY 2012 | FY 2013 | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | FY 2016 | FY 2017 | 6 Yr Total |
|---------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------------|
| No estimated operating impact | | | | | | | |

Milestone Data

| | Projected | Actual |
|----------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Environmental Approvals | | |
| Design Start (FY) | | |
| Design Complete (FY) | | |
| Construction Start (FY) | | |
| Construction Complete (FY) | | |
| Closeout (FY) | | |

Full Time Equivalent Data

| Object | FTE | FY 2012 Budget | % of Project |
|-----------------------|-----|----------------|--------------|
| Personal Services | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Non Personal Services | 0.0 | 500 | 100.0 |