
Commission on Judicial Disabilities and Tenure

www.cjdt.dc.gov

Telephone: 202-727-1363

Description	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Approved	FY 2015 Proposed	% Change from FY 2014
Operating Budget	\$285,820	\$295,000	\$295,000	0.0
FTEs	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0

The mission of the Commission on Judicial Disabilities and Tenure (CJDT) is to maintain public confidence in an independent, impartial, fair, and qualified judiciary, and to enforce the high standards of conduct judges must adhere to both on and off the bench.

Summary of Services

The services provided by the CJDT are as follows: reviewing complaints concerning the misconduct of judges; conducting performance evaluations of associate judges eligible for reappointment; conducting fitness and qualification reviews of retiring and senior judges; and processing the involuntary retirement of judges for health reasons.

The agency's FY 2015 proposed budget is presented in the following tables:

FY 2015 Proposed Gross Funds Operating Budget, by Revenue Type

Table DQ0-1 contains the proposed FY 2015 agency budget compared to the FY 2014 approved budget. It also provides FY 2012 and FY 2013 actual expenditures.

Table DQ0-1
(dollars in thousands)

Appropriated Fund	Actual FY 2012	Actual FY 2013	Approved FY 2014	Proposed FY 2015	Change from FY 2014	Percent Change*
Federal Resources						
Federal Payments	303	286	295	295	0	0.0
Total for Federal Resources	303	286	295	295	0	0.0
Gross Funds	303	286	295	295	0	0.0

*Percent change is based on whole dollars.

Note: If applicable, for a breakdown of each Grant (Federal and Private), Special Purpose Revenue type and Intra-District agreement, please refer to **Schedule 80 Agency Summary by Revenue Source** in the **FY 2015 Operating Appendices** located on the Office of the Chief Financial Officer's website.

FY 2015 Proposed Full-Time Equivalents, by Revenue Type

Table DQ0-2 contains the proposed FY 2015 FTE level compared to the FY 2014 approved FTE level by revenue type. It also provides FY 2012 and FY 2013 actual data.

Table DQ0-2

Appropriated Fund	Actual FY 2012	Actual FY 2013	Approved FY 2014	Proposed FY 2015	Change from FY 2014	Percent Change
Federal Resources						
Federal Payments	2.1	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	0.0
Total for Federal Resources	2.1	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	0.0
Total Proposed FTEs	2.1	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	0.0

FY 2015 Proposed Operating Budget, by Comptroller Source Group

Table DQ0-3 contains the proposed FY 2015 budget at the Comptroller Source Group (object class) level compared to the FY 2014 approved budget. It also provides FY 2012 and FY 2013 actual expenditures.

Table DQ0-3
(dollars in thousands)

Comptroller Source Group	Actual FY 2012	Actual FY 2013	Approved FY 2014	Proposed FY 2015	Change from FY 2014	Percent Change*
11 - Regular Pay - Continuing Full Time	189	193	199	200	1	0.5
13 - Additional Gross Pay	5	5	0	0	0	N/A
14 - Fringe Benefits - Current Personnel	22	22	27	22	-5	-17.3
Subtotal Personal Services (PS)	217	219	226	222	-4	-1.6
20 - Supplies and Materials	3	2	4	4	0	0.0
31 - Telephone, Telegraph, Telegram, Etc.	17	8	9	9	0	-2.2
40 - Other Services and Charges	24	22	26	28	2	6.2
41 - Contractual Services - Other	14	31	27	28	1	3.7
70 - Equipment and Equipment Rental	29	3	3	4	1	45.2
Subtotal Nonpersonal Services (NPS)	87	66	69	73	4	5.3
Gross Funds	303	286	295	295	0	0.0

*Percent change is based on whole dollars.

Program Description

The Commission on Judicial Disabilities and Tenure operates through the following 2 programs:

Judicial Disabilities and Tenure - provides administrative support to the Judicial Disabilities and Tenure Commission to ensure the Commission fulfills its mission, pursuant to section 11-1521 of the D.C. Official Code.

Agency Management - provides for administrative support and the required tools to achieve operational and programmatic results. This program is standard for all agencies using performance-based budgeting.

Program Structure Change

The Commission on Judicial Disabilities and Tenure has no program structure changes in the FY 2015 proposed budget.

FY 2015 Proposed Operating Budget and FTEs, by Program and Activity

Table DQ0-4 contains the proposed FY 2015 budget by program and activity compared to the FY 2014 approved budget. It also provides the FY 2013 actual data.

Table DQ0-4

(dollars in thousands)

Program/Activity	Dollars in Thousands				Full-Time Equivalents			
	Actual FY 2013	Approved FY 2014	Proposed FY 2015	Change from FY 2014	Actual FY 2013	Approved FY 2014	Proposed FY 2015	Change from FY 2014
(2000) Judicial Disabilities Tenure								
(2100) Commission Administration and Support	286	295	295	0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0
Subtotal (2000) Judicial Disabilities Tenure	286	295	295	0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0
Total Proposed Operating Budget	286	295	295	0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0

(Change is calculated by whole numbers and numbers may not add up due to rounding)

Note: For more detailed information regarding the proposed funding for the activities within this agency's programs, please see **Schedule 30-PBB Program Summary by Activity** in the **FY 2015 Operating Appendices** located on the Office of the Chief Financial Officer's website.

FY 2015 Proposed Budget Changes

The Commission on Judicial Disabilities and Tenure's proposed FY 2015 gross budget is \$295,000, which represents no change from the FY 2014 approved gross budget of \$295,000. The budget is comprised entirely of Federal Payments.

Agency Budget Submission

Increase: In order to align the budget with programmatic needs, the nonpersonal services budget was increased by \$3,680, primarily in Supplies and Materials as a result of projected costs for office supplies.

Decrease: The personal services budget reflects a net decrease of \$3,680 in Fringe Benefits. This decrease is based on the agency's three-year average spending.

Mayor's Proposed Budget

No Change: The Commission on Judicial Disabilities and Tenure's budget proposal reflects no change from the agency budget submission to the Mayor's proposed budget.

District's Proposed Budget

No Change: The Commission on Judicial Disabilities and Tenure's budget proposal reflects no change from the Mayor's proposed budget to the District's proposed budget.

FY 2014 Approved Budget to FY 2015 Proposed Budget, by Revenue Type

Table DQ0-5 itemizes the changes by revenue type between the FY 2014 approved budget and the FY 2015 proposed budget.

Table DQ0-5
(dollars in thousands)

DESCRIPTION	PROGRAM	BUDGET	FTE
FEDERAL PAYMENTS: FY 2014 Approved Budget and FTE		295	2.0
Increase: To align resources with operational goals	Judicial Disabilities Tenure	4	0.0
Decrease: To adjust personal services	Judicial Disabilities Tenure	-4	0.0
FEDERAL PAYMENTS: FY 2015 Agency Budget Submission		295	2.0
No Change		0	0.0
FEDERAL PAYMENTS: FY 2015 Mayor's Proposed Budget		295	2.0
No Change		0	0.0
FEDERAL PAYMENTS: FY 2015 District's Proposed Budget		295	2.0
Gross for DQ0 - Commission on Judicial Disabilities and Tenure		295	2.0

(Change is calculated by whole numbers and numbers may not add up due to rounding)

Agency Performance Plan

The agency's performance plan has the following objective for FY 2015:

Objective 1: Maintain public confidence in an independent, impartial, fair, and qualified judiciary, and to enforce the high standards of conduct judges must adhere to both on and off the bench.

KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Measure	FY 2012 Actual	FY 2013 Target	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Projection	FY 2015 Projection	FY 2016 Projection
Number of complaints reviewed	79	30	58	54	54	54
Number of judicial misconduct investigations completed ¹	37	15	12	15	15	15
Number of judicial reappointment evaluations completed ²	2	5	3	4	6	4
Number of senior judge reviews completed	17	13	12	12	13	15

Performance Plan Endnotes:

¹ The Commission's enabling statutes mandate the completion of judicial reappointment evaluations and senior judge reviews within strict time frames. The target numbers projected reflect the actual number of associate and senior judges of both Courts whose terms will expire during FY 2013 through FY 2015.

² The statutes mandate that Commission investigations are limited to matters concerning the conduct or health of a judge. The Commission complies with the statutory requirements, and the projection numbers reflected for complaints and investigations represent the average the Commission receives and conducts annually.